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Q. Case study: Before coding of the website, planning is important, students should Visit different websites for the different client projects and note down the evaluation results for these websites, either good Website or bad website in following format.

# Objective:

The primary objective of this assignment is to analyze and evaluate the design, functionality, and overall user experience of various websites based on their purpose. This includes:

1. Understanding Website Purpose: Identifying the main goal and target audience of each website.
2. Assessing User Experience: Evaluating elements such as response time, interactivity, ease of navigation, and visual appeal.
3. Highlighting Strengths and Weaknesses: Recognizing features that contribute to the effectiveness of the websites as well as areas requiring improvement.
4. Developing Critical Thinking: Gaining insights into what constitutes a good website and how it aligns with user needs.
5. Improving Web Design Knowledge: Learning key aspects that can help in designing user-friendly and efficient websites in the future.

This analysis aims to provide a structured understanding of website evaluation, which is crucial for individuals aiming to excel in web development or user experience design.

# Table:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr. No** | **Website URL** | **Purpose of Website** | **Things Liked in Website** | **Things Disliked in Website** | **Overall Rating** |
| 1. | en.wikipedia.org | Informative, educational,  organizational | Good language options, response  time | UI, interactivity | Good (Rating:  3.4) |
| 2. | www.maxhealthcare.in | Online doctor consultancy, portal type | Good helpful for long distance. | Poor colour combination,  bulky design, low  interactivity | Bad (Rating: 2.4) |
| 3. | www.codewithharry.com | Educational website, admission  purpose | Response time | Bulky feel | Average (Rating: 3) |
| 4. | [www.nasa.gov](http://www.nasa.gov/) | Research, informative, space  technology | Best UI, colour combination, live sessions | High-quality  images take time to load, crowded  interface | Good (Rating: 4.5) |
| 5. | www.cambridge.org | English Test and learning research,  educational | Response time | Poor desktop view, weak UI | Average (Rating:  2.7) |
| 6. | airtel.in | Telephone  service, global  telecom | Colour combination,  interactivity | Security concerns due to direct  access | Good (Rating:  4.5) |

* + **Conclusion:**

Through the analysis of the five websites, it was observed that each website has unique strengths and weaknesses based on its purpose and target audience.

Websites like **Wikipedia** and **NASA** excel in providing rich content and effective designs for their respective purposes. However, they face challenges such as interactivity and slow response times due to high-quality content. On the other hand, government-related websites like **Cambridge english** and **codewithharry** lag behind in user interface and usability, highlighting the need for modernization and better user experience strategies.

The evaluation demonstrates the importance of balancing functionality, design, and user experience to create efficient websites. While technical content is

crucial, factors such as response time, intuitive interfaces, and visual appeal significantly impact the user's overall satisfaction.

# References:

1. **en.wikipedia.org** - Informative and educational content source.
2. **www.cambridge.org** – English Learning and certification information.
3. **www.codewithharry.com** - Education-focused platform.
4. [**www.nasa.gov**](http://www.nasa.gov/)- Space technology and research-based website.
5. **www.maxhealthcare.in** – Online doctor consultancy.
6. **airtel.in** - Telecommunication services website.
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